

ON THE SECOND GAUSSIAN MAP FOR CURVES ON A K3 SURFACE

ELISABETTA COLOMBO AND PAOLA FREDIANI

ABSTRACT. By a theorem of Wahl, for canonically embedded curves which are hyperplane sections of K3 surfaces, the first Gaussian map is not surjective. In this paper we prove that if C is a general hyperplane section of high genus (greater than 280) of a general polarized K3 surface, then the second Gaussian map of C is surjective. The resulting bound for the genus g of a general curve with surjective second Gaussian map is decreased to $g > 152$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first Gaussian map, or Wahl map, for the canonical series has been extensively studied, and it has been shown that for a general curve of genus ≥ 10 , different from 11, it is surjective ([4], [18]).

Wahl ([21]) has given a deformation theoretic interpretation of the first Gaussian map, showing that if a canonical curve can be extended in projective space as a hyperplane section of a surface which is not a cone, then the first Gaussian map is not surjective.

In particular in [21] it is proven that if a curve lies on a K3 surface, the first Gaussian map can't be surjective (see also [3]).

The obstruction to the surjectivity of the first Gaussian map for a curve in a K3 surface is given by the extension class of the cotangent sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_C^{-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X|C}^1 \rightarrow K_C \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a non trivial element in the kernel of the dual of the first Gaussian map (see [3]).

This paper is concerned with the second Gaussian map, $\gamma_C^2 : I_2(K_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, 4K_C)$. In fact also the second Gaussian map encodes some interesting geometry. Our geometrical motivation to study it comes from its relation with the curvature of the moduli space M_g of curves of genus g with the Siegel metric induced by the period map $j : M_g \rightarrow A_g$, that we analyzed in [9].

There the curvature was computed using a formula for the associated second fundamental form given in [11]. In particular in [11] it is proven that the second fundamental form lifts the second Gaussian map as stated in an unpublished paper of Green and Griffiths (cf. [12]).

¹ Partially supported by PRIN 2007 MIUR: "Moduli, strutture geometriche e loro applicazioni" and PRIN 2006 of MIUR "Geometry on algebraic varieties".

AMS Subject classification: 14H10, 14J28.

In [9], (3.8) we gave a formula for the holomorphic sectional curvature of M_g along a Schiffer variation ξ_P , for P a point on the curve C , in terms of the holomorphic sectional curvature of A_g and the second Gaussian map.

The relation of the second Gaussian map with curvature properties of M_g in A_g suggested that its rank could give information on the geometry of M_g and of some sublocus of it.

Another interesting problem is to understand whether the surjectivity of second Gaussian map provides an obstruction to embed a curve in a surface as a hyperplane section.

In this paper we address this problem for curves in a K3 surface and we deduce results for the general curve.

Using cohomological techniques in the study of γ_C^2 for a curve in a K3 surface X it is natural to consider the "symmetric square" of the cotangent extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^{-1} \rightarrow S^2 \Omega_{X|C}^1 \rightarrow K_C^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

This does not give any obstruction to the surjectivity of γ_C^2 for the general curve in a general K3 surface, while it gives an obstruction if C is any curve in an abelian surface (cf. [10]). In fact in [10] it is shown that if C is a curve in an abelian surface X , then the corank of γ_C^2 is at least two.

In this paper (theorem (3.1)) we prove surjectivity of the second Gaussian map for a general curve C of high genus (for all $g > 280$) on a general polarized K3 surface.

This clearly implies surjectivity for the general curve of in the moduli space of curves of any genus $g > 280$.

In corollary (3.5) we decrease the lower bound for the genus of the general curve with surjective second Gaussian map till 152, using examples given [8].

Note that, for dimensional reasons, surjectivity can be expected for a general curve of genus at least 18, therefore our bound is far from being optimal, nevertheless, it is the first known lower bound.

We recall that examples of curves whose second Gaussian map is surjective were already given by in [8] (for curves lying on the product of two curves) and in [1] (for complete intersections). Note that using complete intersections it is not possible to deduce surjectivity for the general curve of any sufficiently high genus, due to restrictions on the genus. Moreover the first of such examples has much higher genus. The examples of curves in a product of two curves are used in this paper to decrease the bound.

On the other hand, theorem (3.1) shows that general curves on K3 surfaces of sufficiently high genus behave as general curves in the moduli space, with respect to the second Gaussian map.

To prove our theorem we first show that sufficient conditions for the surjectivity of γ_C^2 for C a curve in a K3 surface X are given by the surjectivity of the second Gaussian map $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2 : I_2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(S^2 \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2C))$ and the vanishing of $H^1(S^2 \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C))$.

To prove surjectivity of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2$ we adapt the ideas used in [7] to prove surjectivity of first Gaussian map $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^1$.

More precisely, observe that a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2$ is the vanishing of $H^1(I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)))$, where Δ_X is the diagonal in $X \times X$ and p, q are the two projections to X .

The idea is to consider the blow-up Y of $X \times X$ along the diagonal Δ_X and to use Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem ([13], [17]) as follows. Let E be the exceptional divisor and denote by $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ the natural morphism and by $f := p \circ \pi$, $g := q \circ \pi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))) &\cong H^1(Y, f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(-3E)) \\ &\cong H^1(Y, f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes K_Y(-4E)), \text{ since } K_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(E). \end{aligned}$$

So by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, it suffices to prove that the line bundle $L := f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(-4E)$ is big and nef. Now notice that if one decomposes $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$ as $\otimes_{i=1}^4 A_i$, where A_i are line bundles on X , then $L = \otimes_{i=1}^4 (f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E))$. To obtain that L is big and nef, we ask suitable conditions on the line bundles A_i , and we study the sublinear system of $|f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)|$ given by $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2(H^0(A_i)))$ (cf. lemma (3.3)).

The vanishing of $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C))$ relies on a similar argument, but it requires a more refined version of it. In fact, given a decomposition of $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$ as $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D')$, we have

$$H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = H^1(X \times X, I_{\Delta_X}^2/I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D'))),$$

hence its vanishing is implied by the vanishing of $H^1(X \times X, I_{\Delta_X}^2 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D')))$ and of $H^2(X \times X, I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D')))$.

So, with the same argument as above, it suffices to show that $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D'))(-4E)$ is big and nef. The strategy is now to choose $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \otimes_{i=1}^4 A_i$ and $D' = D + B$ with B nef and effective, so we take $C \in |2D + B|$.

The above decompositions are shown on concrete examples of K3 surfaces X and of curves C in X , which are explicitly constructed via their Picard lattices (cf proposition 3.4).

Finally, regardless the examples that we give, note that the conditions of the line bundles A_i as in lemma (3.3) and the decomposition $\mathcal{O}_X(C) = \mathcal{O}_X(2D + B)$ force the genus of C to be far from the optimal lower bound.

Anyhow, observe that the vanishing of $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C))$ itself, already implies that the curve C must be of genus at least 31, as one can check looking at the restriction of $\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1(C)$ to C and the induced cohomology exact sequence.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON GAUSSIAN MAPS

Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety and let $\Delta_Y \subset Y \times Y$ be the diagonal. Let L and M be line bundles on Y . For a non-negative integer k ,

the k -th *Gaussian map* associated to these data is the restriction to diagonal map

$$(1) \quad \gamma_{L,M}^k : H^0(Y \times Y, I_{\Delta_Y}^k \otimes L \boxtimes M) \rightarrow H^0(Y, I_{\Delta_Y}^k|_{\Delta_Y} \otimes L \otimes M) \cong H^0(Y, S^k \Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M).$$

Usually *first* Gaussian maps are simply referred to as *Gaussian maps*. The exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_{\Delta_Y}^{k+1} \rightarrow I_{\Delta_Y}^k \rightarrow S^k \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

(where $S^k \Omega_Y^1$ is identified to its image via the diagonal map), twisted by $L \boxtimes M$, shows that the domain of the k -th Gaussian map is the kernel of the previous one:

$$\gamma_{L,M}^k : \ker \gamma_{L,M}^{k-1} \rightarrow H^0(S^k \Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M).$$

In our applications, we will exclusively deal with Gaussian maps of order two, assuming also that the two line bundles L and M coincide. For the reader's convenience, we spell out these maps. The map γ_L^0 is the multiplication map of global sections

$$(3) \quad H^0(Y, L) \otimes H^0(Y, L) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L^2)$$

which obviously vanishes identically on $\wedge^2 H^0(L)$. Consequently, $H^0(Y \times Y, I_{\Delta_Y} \otimes L \boxtimes L)$ decomposes as $\wedge^2 H^0(L) \oplus I_2(L)$, where $I_2(L)$ is the kernel of $S^2 H^0(Y, L) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L^2)$. Since γ_L^1 vanishes on symmetric tensors, one writes

$$(4) \quad \gamma_L^1 : \wedge^2 H^0(L) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_Y^1 \otimes L^2).$$

Again, $H^0(Y \times Y, I_{\Delta_Y}^2 \otimes L \boxtimes L)$ decomposes as the sum of $I_2(L)$ and the kernel of (4). Since γ_L^2 vanishes identically on skew-symmetric tensors, one usually writes

$$(5) \quad \gamma_L^2 : I_2(L) \rightarrow H^0(S^2 \Omega_Y^1 \otimes L^2)$$

The primary object of this paper will be the second Gaussian map of the canonical line bundle K_C on a curve C :

$$\gamma_C^2 : I_2(K_C) \rightarrow H^0(K_C^4)$$

In our situation Y will be either a K3 surface X , or a smooth irreducible projective curve C on X .

3. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 3.1. *If X is a general polarized K3 surface of degree $2g - 2$ with $g > 280$ and C is a general hyperplane section of X , then γ_C^2 is surjective.*

Let us explain the strategy of the proof of theorem (3.1). We have the following commutative diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I_2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2} & H^0(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2C)) \\ \downarrow r & & \searrow p_1 \\ & & H^0(S^2\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2) \\ & & \swarrow p_2 \\ I_2(K_C) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_C^2} & H^0(K_C^4) \end{array}$$

where r and p_1 are restriction maps, and p_2 comes from the conormal extension. More precisely, consider the exact sequence coming from the conormal extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C \rightarrow S^2\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2 \rightarrow K_C^4 \rightarrow 0,$$

then we have

$$H^0(S^2\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2) \xrightarrow{p_2} H^0(K_C^4) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C) \cong H^0(T_{X|C})^*,$$

hence p_2 is surjective by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *If X is a general K3 surface and C a general curve of genus at least 13 in the very ample linear system $|\mathcal{O}_X(C)|$ then $H^0(T_{X|C}) = 0$.*

Proof. By the exact sequence given by restriction of T_X to C , $H^0(T_{X|C})$ injects in $H^1(T_X(-C))$, which vanishes by lemma (2.3) of [6]. $\square$

The theorem will follow if we prove that also the maps $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2$ and p_1 are surjective. In fact it suffices to exhibit examples of pairs (X, C) where X is a K3 and C is a very ample curve in X of any genus g sufficiently high ($g \geq 281$) for which $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2$ and p_1 are surjective. To do this we follow the strategy used in [7] to study the first Wahl map. More precisely, from the exact sequence

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \rightarrow I_{\Delta_X}^2 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \\ &\rightarrow I_{\Delta_X}^2/I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and taking global sections, we see that $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2$ is surjective if $H^1(I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))) = 0$.

The idea used in [7] is to consider the blow-up Y of $X \times X$ along the diagonal Δ_X and to use Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. Let E be the exceptional divisor and denote by $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ the natural morphism and by $f := p \circ \pi$, $g := q \circ \pi$. Then

$$H^1(I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))) \cong H^1(Y, f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(-3E))$$

$\cong H^1(Y, f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes K_Y(-4E))$, since $K_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(E)$.

So by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, it suffices to prove that $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(-4E)$ is big and nef.

Consider now the map

$$p_1 : H^0(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2C)) \rightarrow H^0(S^2\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2).$$

Clearly p_1 is surjective if $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 0$.

Our strategy to prove the surjectivity of p_1 is to adapt the above idea for the vanishing of $H^1(Y, f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes (-3E))$ in order to show that also $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C))$ vanishes.

To this end, let H be a very ample divisor and assume that $C \in |2H + B|$, where B is nef and effective. Then

$H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \cong H^1(X, q_*(I_{\Delta_X}^2/I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))))$
 $\cong H^1(X \times X, I_{\Delta_X}^2/I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B)))$, where the last isomorphism comes from Leray spectral sequence. So, by (7), to prove surjectivity of p_1 it suffices to show that $H^1(I_{\Delta_X}^2 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))) = 0$ and $H^2(I_{\Delta_X}^3 \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))) = 0$. Using again the blow-up Y , this is true if the line bundles $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-4E)$ and $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-3E)$ are big and nef.

In conclusion, if we prove that $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-4E)$ and $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-3E)$ are big and nef, then p_1 is surjective. Moreover also $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(-4E)$ is big and nef and therefore $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_X(C)}^2$ is surjective.

Following [7] we will exhibit pairs (X, C) as above (where $C \in |2H + B|$) for which $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-4E)$ and $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-3E)$ are big and nef. First of all observe that if there exist four line bundles A_i $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(H) \cong A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4$, then we have

$$f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-4E) \cong \otimes_{i=1,2,3,4} (f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(B)),$$

and

$$f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H+B))(-3E) \cong \otimes_{i=1,2,3} (f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)) \otimes (f^*(A_4) \otimes g^*(A_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(B))).$$

These are big and nef under the conditions given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 be four base point free line bundles on a K3 surface X with $A_j^2 \geq 2$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and such that A_1 is very ample. Assume that either A_2, A_3, A_4 are very ample, or they define $(2 : 1)$ finite morphisms onto $\mathbb{P}^2$ and that if $A_j^2 = 2$, we have $(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4) \cdot A_j \geq 12$, then*

$$\otimes_{i=1,2,3,4} (f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E))$$

is big and nef.

Proof. The proof is almost the same as the proof of lemma (2.2) of [7], but we reproduce it here for the reader's convenience.

If A_i is very ample the linear system $|f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)|$ on Y has a sublinear system defining the morphism $F : Y \rightarrow Gr(1, \mathbb{P}H^0(A_i)^*)$, associating to $(x, y) \in Y$ the line between $\phi_{A_i}(x)$ and $\phi_{A_i}(y)$, composed with the Plücker embedding. Notice that if $(x, y) \in E$, we can think of (x, y) as a pair where $x \in X$, $y \in \mathbb{P}T_{X,x}$, hence $F(x, y)$ is the line generated by $(d\phi_{A_i})_x(y)$. Therefore $f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)$ is nef and it is also big, since the image of X in $\mathbb{P}H^0(A_i)^*$ is not ruled.

Therefore, since A_1 is very ample, $(f^*(A_1) \otimes g^*(A_1))(-E)$ is big, hence $\otimes_{i=1,2,3,4}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E))$ is big and it can fail to be nef only on a curve Z contained in the indeterminacy locus of the maps $Y \rightarrow Gr(1, \mathbb{P}H^0(A_i)^*)$, $i = 2, 3, 4$ if A_i is not very ample. Notice that Z is a curve contained in $\{(x, y) \in Y - E \mid \phi_{A_i}(x) = \phi_{A_i}(y)\} \cup \{(x, y) \in E \mid (d\phi_{A_i})_x(y) = 0\}$. Assume that A_i is not very ample, hence, by assumption, it gives a $(2 : 1)$ morphism to $\mathbb{P}^2$. If $Z \not\subset E$, let $\tau : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ be the involution $\tau(x, y) = (y, x)$, then we can assume that the image $\overline{Z}$ of Z in $X \times X$ is such that $\tau(\overline{Z}) = \overline{Z}$, because $\otimes_{i=1,2,3,4}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E))$ is invariant under τ . Then the first (or second) projection Z' of $\overline{Z}$ in X is $\phi_{A_i}^*(Z_1)$ for some curve Z_1 in $\mathbb{P}^2$. If L is a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $Z_1 \sim mL$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_{i=1,2,3,4}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)) \cdot Z &= 2(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4) \cdot Z' - 4E \cdot Z = \\ &= 2(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4) \cdot m\phi_{A_i}^*(L) - 4E \cdot Z = 2m(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4) \cdot A_i - 4E \cdot Z, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we are done if we show that $E \cdot Z = 6m$. Let B be the ramification divisor of ϕ_{A_i} , then B is a smooth plane sextic and $E \cdot Z = mB \cdot L = 6m$, if the intersection of E and Z is transverse. This can be checked directly as in lemma (2.2) of [7].

If $Z \subset E$, then it is the strict transform of the ramification divisor R on X of ϕ_{A_i} , hence $Z \cdot E = -c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}T_X}(1)) \cdot Z = -\deg T_R = 18$. Therefore

$$\otimes_{i=1,2,3,4}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E)) \cdot Z = 6(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4) \cdot A_i - 4E \cdot Z \geq 0.$$

□

Let us now show the construction of the examples.

Proposition 3.4. *There exist smooth K3 surfaces X with Picard lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}D \oplus \mathbb{Z}L \oplus \mathbb{Z}R \oplus \mathbb{Z}S \oplus \mathbb{Z}T$ with intersection matrix **diag** $(2h, -2k, -2j, -2l, -2m)$ with $j, k, l, m \geq 2$, $h \geq k + 1, j + 1, l + 1, m + 1$ and D very ample. Moreover $D + L$, $D + R$, $D + S$ and $D + T$ are base point free, and they are either very ample, or they define $(2 : 1)$ morphisms to $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Observe that the lattice Γ is even, nondegenerate and of signature $(1, 4)$, hence it occurs as the Neron-Severi group of some algebraic K3 surface (cf. [15], corollary 2.9).

We will show that there does not exist a class $F \in \Gamma$ such that $F^2 = -2$, $D \cdot F = 0$. By well known results on periods of K3 surfaces (see e.g. [2]),

this implies that there exists a $K3$ surface with Picard lattice Γ and such that D is ample.

Assume that $F = aD + bL + cR + dS + eT$ ($a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$) is such that $F \cdot D = 0$, and $F^2 = -2$. The first equality implies $a = 0$, and the second one yields $1 = kb^2 + jc^2 + ld^2 + me^2$, which is absurd, since $k, j, l, m \geq 2$.

So D is ample and $D^2 \geq 4$, hence D is very ample provided that there does not exist an irreducible curve F such that $F^2 = 0$, $F \cdot D = 1, 2$ (cf. [16], or [14] theorem 5). But this cannot happen, because if we write $F = aD + bL + cR + dS + eT$, $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F \cdot D = 2ha \neq 1, 2$ since $h \geq 2$. So D is very ample.

Note that $(D + L)^2 = 2h - 2k \geq 2$, since $h \geq k + 1$.

First of all we show that for any (-2) -curve F , $F \cdot (D + L) > 0$, hence $D + L$ is ample and it is base point free, provided that there does not exist irreducible curves F, G and an integer $a \geq 2$ such that $D + L \sim aF + G$, with $F^2 = 0$, $G^2 = -2$, $F \cdot G = 1$ (cf. [16], or [14] theorem 5). This clearly cannot happen, since the product of two classes is always even.

Set $F = aD + bL + cR + dS + eT$, $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $F^2 = -2$. Since D is ample, $D \cdot F = 2ha > 0$, hence $a > 0$. $F^2 = -2$ yields $a^2 = \frac{kb^2 + jc^2 + ld^2 + me^2 - 1}{h}$.

If $(D + L)(aD + bL + cR + dS + eT) = 2ah - 2kb \leq 0$, we have $bk \geq ah > 0$, so $b > 0$ and $b^2k^2 \geq h^2a^2 = h(b^2k + c^2j + d^2l + e^2m - 1)$. Thus we get

$$b^2(k^2 - hk) - hc^2j - hd^2l - he^2m + h \geq 0,$$

so if we set $h = k + 1 + t$, $t \geq 0$, we obtain

$$0 \leq b^2(k^2 - hk) - hc^2j - hd^2l - he^2m + h \leq b^2(k^2 - hk) + h = \\ t(1 - b^2k) + k(1 - b^2) + 1 \leq -t + 1$$

hence we must have either $t = 0$ or $t = 1$. But if $t = 0$, $h = k + 1$, so

$$0 \leq b^2(k^2 - hk) - hc^2j - hd^2l - he^2m + h =$$

$$k(1 - b^2) - (k + 1)(c^2j + d^2l + e^2m) + 1 \leq k(1 - b^2) + 1,$$

so $b = 1$, but then $-(k + 1)(c^2j + d^2l + e^2m) + 1 \geq 0$, which is absurd.

If $t = 1$, $h = k + 2$, so we have

$$0 \leq -2b^2k + k + 2 - (k + 2)(c^2j + d^2l + e^2m) \leq k(1 - 2b^2) + 2,$$

thus we must have $b = 1$, hence $0 \leq -k + 2 - (k + 2)(c^2j + d^2l + e^2m) \leq -(k + 2)(c^2j + d^2l + e^2m)$, which implies $c = d = e = 0$. But then $a^2 = \frac{k-1}{k+2}$, which is absurd. So $D + L$ is ample and base point free. If $(D + L)^2 \geq 4$ a similar computation shows that there does not exist a curve F such that $F^2 = 0$ and $F \cdot (D + L) = 1, 2$, therefore $D + L$ is very ample.

If $(D + L)^2 = 2$, it clearly defines a $(2:1)$ morphism to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

The same holds for $D + R$, $D + S$ and $D + T$. □

Proof of (3.1). Consider the $K3$ surfaces constructed in (3.4).

Let us set $A_1 = D$, A_i $i = 2, 3, 4$ be either equal to D , or to $D + L$, or to $D + R$, or to $D + S$, or to $D + T$. Setting $H = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 = 4D + aL + bR + cS + dT$, with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$, $a + b + c + d \leq 3$, $\tilde{H} = 2H + B$, where $B = nD + m(D + L) + r(D + R) + s(D + S) + t(D + T)$, with $m, n, r, s, t \geq 0$, lemma (3.3) applies. In fact it suffices to check that $H \cdot (D + L) \geq 12$, $H \cdot (D + R) \geq 12$, and $H \cdot (D + S) \geq 12$, $H \cdot (D + T) \geq 12$, which is true for our choices of H . Hence $\otimes_{i=1,2,3,4}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(B)))$ is big and nef and $(\otimes_{i=1,2,3}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E))) \otimes (f^*(A_4) \otimes g^*(A_4) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X(B)))$ is also big and nef. If we take $C \in |\tilde{H}|$, we also have $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 0$, thus γ_C^2 is surjective.

Now we have to check that with our choices of a non divisible $\tilde{H}$, we obtain all the genera $g(C) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}^2 \geq 281$ for curves $C \in |\tilde{H}|$. To this end, it suffices to take $\tilde{H} = aD + sL + tR + vS + rT$, where $s, t, v, r \geq 0$, relatively prime, $s + t + v + r \leq a - 2$, $9 \leq a \leq 14$ and, if $a = 9$, at most one among s, t, v, r is odd, if $a = 10$, at most two among s, t, v, r are odd, if $a = 11$, at most three among s, t, v, r are odd.

In particular, let us start with $\tilde{H} = 9D + 6L + R$, for $C \in |\tilde{H}|$, we have

$$g(C) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}^2 = 1 + 81h - 36k - j.$$

Let us set $n = k - 2$, $m = j - 2$, $h = n + 3 + t$, where $n, m, t \geq 0$, then we have $h \geq m + 3$, so $t \geq m - n$, $g(C) = 1 + 81(n + 3 + t) - 36(n + 2) - (m + 2)$, and we have two cases:

(1) $\rho := n - m \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$, then

$$g(C) = 1 + 81(m + \rho + 3 + t) - 36(m + \rho + 2) - (m + 2) = 170 + 45\rho + 44m + 81t,$$

with $t, m, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t, m, \rho \geq 0$.

(2) $\alpha := m - n \geq 0$, $t = \alpha + \beta$, with $\beta \geq 0$, then

$$g(C) = 1 + 81(n + 3 + \alpha + \beta) - 36(n + 2) - (n + 2 + \alpha) = 170 + 44n + 80\alpha + 81\beta,$$

with $n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

Since 44 and 45 are relatively prime it is clear that with $g(C) = 170 + 45\rho + 44m + 81t$ one gets all sufficiently high genera. Using (1) and (2), one can simply check that $g(C)$ runs through all the integers greater than 620 and with the other choices of $\tilde{H}$ one gets all genera g greater than 280 except for $g = 321$.

For $g = 321$ we consider the K3 surface constructed in [7] proposition (3.2) with Picard lattice given by $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}D \oplus \mathbb{Z}L$ with $D^2 = 4$, $L^2 = 2$, $D \cdot L = 7$. In [7] it is proven that D is very ample and L defines a $2 : 1$ finite morphism onto $\mathbb{P}^2$. So if we set $A_i = D$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $H = 4D$, $B = 3D + L$, $\tilde{H} = 2H + B = 11D + L$, since $H \cdot L = 4D \cdot L = 28$, lemma (3.3) applies. Hence $\otimes_{i=1,2,3,4}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E) \otimes g^*(\mathcal{O}_X(B)))$ is big and nef and $(\otimes_{i=1,2,3}(f^*(A_i) \otimes g^*(A_i)(-E))) \otimes (f^*(A_4) \otimes g^*(A_4) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X(B)))$ is also big and nef. So, as above, if we take $C \in |\tilde{H}|$, we also have $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes$

$\mathcal{O}_X(C) = 0$, thus γ_C^2 is surjective. Now it suffices to check that C has genus $g = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}^2 = 321$. □

Corollary 3.5. *For the general curve of genus greater than 152, the second Gaussian map γ_C^2 is surjective.*

Proof. By theorem (3.1) and semicontinuity of the corank of γ_C^2 , for a general curve of genus greater than 280 γ_C^2 is surjective. Surjectivity for the general curve of genus $153 \leq g \leq 280$ can be proved exhibiting examples of curves of genus g with surjective second Gaussian map, which are either hyperplane sections of a polarized K3 surface as in the proof of (3.1), or in the product of two curves as in [8] theorem 3.1.

More precisely let C_1, C_2 be two smooth curves of respective genera g_1, g_2 , choose divisors D_i on C_i of degree d_i , $i = 1, 2$. Set $X = C_1 \times C_2$, let $C \in |p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$ be a smooth curve, where p_i is the projection from $C_1 \times C_2$ on C_i , then $g(C) = 1 + (g_2 - 1)d_1 + (g_1 - 1)d_2 + d_1d_2$.

In [8] we proved that if either $g_1, g_2 \geq 2$, $d_i \geq 2g_i + 5$, $i = 1, 2$, or $g_1 \geq 2$, $g_2 = 1$, $d_1 \geq 2g_1 + 5$, $d_2 \geq 7$, or $g_2 = 0$, $d_2 \geq 7$, $d_2(g_1 - 1) > 2d_1 \geq 4g_1 + 10$, then γ_C^2 is surjective.

Then one has to check directly that these values of $g(C)$ cover all the remaining integers between 153 and 280. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Ballico, E., Fontanari, C., *On the surjectivity of higher Gaussian maps for complete intersection curves*. *Ricerche Mat.* 53 (2004), no. 1, 79–85 (2005).
- [2] Beauville, *Preliminaires sur les periodes des surfaces K3*. *Asterisque* No. 126 (1985), 91–97.
- [3] Beauville, A., Merindol, J.-Y., *Sections hyperplanes des surfaces K3*, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **55** no. 4, (1987), 873–878.
- [4] Ciliberto, C., Harris, J., Miranda, R. *On the surjectivity of the Wahl map*, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **57**, (1988), 829–858.
- [5] Ciliberto, C., Lopez, A. F., Miranda, R. *Projective degenerations of K3 surfaces, Gaussian maps, and Fano threefolds*. *Invent. Math.* 114 (1993), no. 3, 641–667.
- [6] Ciliberto, C., Lopez, A. F., Miranda, R. *Classification of varieties with canonical curve section via Gaussian maps on canonical curves*. *Amer. J. Math.* 120 (1998), no. 1, 1–21.
- [7] Ciliberto, C., Lopez, A. F., Miranda, R. *On the corank of Gaussian maps for general embedded K3 surfaces*. *Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry* (Ramat Gan, 1993), 141–157, *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996.
- [8] Colombo, E., Frediani, P., *Some results on the second Gaussian map for curves*, [arXiv:0805.3422](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3422), to appear on *Michigan Journal of Mathematics*.
- [9] Colombo, E., Frediani, P., *Siegel metric and curvature of the moduli space of curves*, [arXiv:0805.3425](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3425), to appear on *Transaction of the AMS*.
- [10] Colombo, E., Frediani, P., Pareschi, G. *Hyperplane sections of abelian surfaces*, [arXiv:0903.2781](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2781).
- [11] Colombo, E., Pirola, G.P., Tortora, A., *Hodge-Gaussian maps*, *Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) **30** (2001), no. 1, 125–146.

- [12] Green, M. L., Infinitesimal methods in Hodge theory, in *Algebraic Cycles and Hodge Theory*, Torino 1993, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1594. Springer, Berlin, (1994), 1–92.
- [13] Kawamata, Y., A generalization of Kodaira - Ramanujam's vanishing theorem. *Math. Ann.* 261 (1982), n.1, 43-46.
- [14] Mori, S., On degrees and genera of curves on smooth quartic surfaces in P^3 . *Nagoya Math. J.* 96 (1984), 127-132.
- [15] Morrison, D. R., On $K3$ surfaces with large Picard number. *Invent. Math.* 75 (1984), no. 1, 105-121.
- [16] Saint-Donat, B., Projective models of $K3$ surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.* 96 (1974), 602-639.
- [17] Viehweg, E., Vanishing theorems. *J.Reine Angew. Math.* 335, (1982), 1-8.
- [18] Voisin, C., Sur l'application de Wahl des courbes satisfaisant la condition de Brill-Noether-Petri, *Acta Math.* **168** (1992), 249-272.
- [19] Wahl, J., Gaussian maps on algebraic curves, *J. Diff. Geom.* **32** (1990), no. 1, 77–98.
- [20] Wahl, J., Introduction to Gaussian maps on an algebraic curve, *Complex projective geometry* (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1992), 304–323.
- [21] Wahl, J., The Jacobian algebra of a graded Gorenstein singularity, *Duke Math. Jour.* **55** (1987), 843–871.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, I-20133, MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: elisabetta.colombo@unimi.it, *Fax:*+39-02-50316090

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA, VIA FERRATA 1, I-27100 PAVIA, ITALY

E-mail address: paola.frediani@unipv.it, *Fax:*+39-0382-985602